

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1260 of 1997

TO

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1279 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

DHANIBEN MANEKBEN

Versus

AHMEDABAD PANJRAPOLE SANSTHA THRO'ADM.TRUSTEE

Appearance:

MR SANDEEP N BHATT for Petitioner

MR AJ PATEL with MR TRILOK J PATEL for Respondent No.1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 18/11/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Service of rule waived by Mr. A.J. Patel,
learned advocate appearing for the respondent.

2. Heard. Today Mr. S.N. Bhatt, learned advocate

for the petitioners in all these revision applications places on record a copy of the civil application praying for liberty to file appropriate suit before the appropriate Court and to grant order of status-quo till the first hearing of suit before the trial Court. Having heard the learned advocates for the parties, I am of the opinion that the following order will serve the ends of justice :-

3. The petitioners in all these revision applications are permitted to file an appropriate suit before the concerned Court. There shall be status-quo till the petitioners move an appropriate application for interim injunction in such suit before the concerned court. The suits and the interim injunction applications sought to be filed will be filed within a period of four weeks from today.

Subject to what is stated above, rule is discharged in each revision application with no order as to cost.

* * *